UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,380	03/29/2005	Anton Stapel	04251	6817
23338 7590 09/24/2007 DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD 1727 KING STREET			EXAMINER	
			HESS, MICHAEL THOMAS	
SUITE 105 ALEXANDRIA	A VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TEENTH, VII 2201			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		_	
Ÿ	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/518,380	STAPEL, ANTON	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael T. Hess	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folioplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0° Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat been filed is the date for purposes of determining experiod of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three managements. 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repliance with 37 CFR 1.114 and the mailing of the control of the set for the control of the control of the control of the set for the set for the control of the set for the set for the set for the set of the set of the set for the set of the set o	ffidavit, or other evidence, which in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or oly must be filed within one of the in the final rejection, whichever is latered at e of the final rejection. IE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension feed fee. The appropriate extension feed to the final Office action; or (2) feeth in (In i
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in con of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any solution of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection They raise new issues that would require further c They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bellow) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: 	consideration and/or search (see No low); etter form for appeal by materially re a corresponding number of finally re .116 and 41.33(a)).	OTE below); educing or simplifying the issues for eje cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		Compliant Amendment (PTOL -324).	
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). 		e, timely filed amendment canceling	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 15-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an explanation o	f
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			i
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app ary and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fails to provide See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	а
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat	ion of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attached.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	but does NOT place the application	in condition for allowance because:	
into request to reconstructuor and titue been constitution i	place the application		

PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

13. Other: _____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added limitations will require further consideration and also require a further search.